UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,232	10/24/2003	Stephen A. Raymond	B0033/7001C3	1418	
	7590 04/11/200 SSE HENDRICKS & C		EXAM	INER	
ONE STATE ST		GETZOW, SCOTT M			
SUITE 800 BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3762		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	04/11/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,232	RAYMOND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott M. Getzow	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	·	5
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-58 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	,	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	,
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/25/07</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	• •	

Application/Control Number: 10/693,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

Double Patenting

1. Claims 20-58 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-48 of U.S. Patent No. 6,095,985. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are considered to be an obvious variant of the claims of the parent patent.

2. Claims 20-58 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,778,882. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are considered to be an obvious variant of the claims of the parent patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,232

Art Unit: 3762

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG